arXiv:1210.0639v1 [math.AT] 2 Oct 2012

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE DOUBLE BURNSIDE ALGEBRA AND COHOMOLOGY OF THE EXTRASPECIAL p-GROUP

AKIHIKO HIDA AND NOBUAKI YAGITA

Abstract. Let E be the extraspecial p-group of order p^3 and exponent p where p is an odd prime. We determine the mod p cohomology of summands in the stable splitting of p-completed classifying space BE modulo nilpotence. It is well known that indecomposable summands in the complete stable splitting correspond to simple modules for the mod p double Burnside algebra. We shall use representation theory of the double Burnside algebra and the theory of biset functors.

1. Introduction

Let p be an odd prime and $E = p_+^{1+2}$ the extraspecial p-group of order p^3 and exponent p. The (integral or mod p) cohomology ring of E is widely studied (for example, [9], [10], [11], [12], [17], [18], [20], [21]). In particular, in [21], the second author consider cohomology rings of finite groups with Sylow p-subgroup E, or more generally, cohomology rings of saturated fusion systems on E, and obtained the cohomology of various summands in the stable splitting of BE where BE is a p-completed classifying space of E.

Let P be a finite p-group where p is an arbitrary prime. By the Segal conjecture (Carlsson's theorem [5]) and the result of Lewis, May and McClure [13], it is well known that, in the stable homotopy category, the endomorphism ring of BE is isomorphic to the completion of the double Burnside ring $A_{\mathbb{Z}}(P,P)$. Consequently, indecomposable stable summands of BP correspond to primitive idempotents of the double Burnside algebra $A_p(P,P)$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$, or equivalently, simple $A_p(P,P)$-modules (except the one dimensional module with trivial minimal subgroup). Benson and Feshbach [2] and Martino and Priddy [14] classified simple $A_p(P,P)$-modules and studied stable splittings of BP for various p-groups. See Benson's survey [1] for more details.

In this paper, we shall determine the cohomology of stable summands of BE for the extraspecial p-group $E = p_+^{1+2}$ through the action of double Burnside algebra $A_p(E,E)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$BE = \bigvee_{i} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m(i)} X_{ij}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P35, 57T25, 20C20; Secondary 55R35, 57T05.

Key words and phrases. cohomology, extraspecial p-group, stable splitting, double Burnside ring.

be the complete stable splitting of BE such that $X_{ij} \sim X_{mn}$ if and only if $i = m$. Let

$$1 = \sum_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(i)} e_{ij}$$

be a corresponding decomposition of unity into orthogonal primitive idempotents in $A_p(E, E)$. Consider the sum $X_i = \vee_{1 \leq j \leq m(i)} X_{ij}$ of all equivalent indecomposable summands. Let $e_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(i)} e_{ij}$. We want to consider the cohomology of X_i modulo nilpotence. However, the cohomology of X_i does not have ring structure which relates to the structure of the cohomology ring of BE. Hence, in this paper, let

$$H^*(X_i) = H^*(E)e_i$$

where

$$H^*(E) = (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes H^*(BE; \mathbb{Z}))/\sqrt{0}.$$

Note that $A_p(E, E)$ acts on $H^*(E)$ (see Lemma 3.4 below) and $H^*(X_i)$ is actually defined, though it depends on the choice of an idempotent e_i. The structure of $H^*(E)$ is known ([10], [18]) and the main purpose of this paper is to determine $H^*(X_i)$ for every summand X_i, see section 10 below.

For example, let $X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the principal dominant summand of BE, namely, the summand corresponding to the trivial $\mathrm{Out}(E)$-module. In [21], it was shown that $H^*(X(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is the Dickson subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ for $p = 3, 5, 7$. These are obtained by the cohomology of the fourth Janko group J_4 ($p = 3$)(Green [9]), the Thompson group Th ($p = 5$) and the exotic saturated fusion systems of Ruiz and Viruel [16] ($p = 7$). On the other hand, in this paper, we prove this result for every p without use of large sporadic simple groups.

Corollary 10.7. Let $X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the principal dominant summand of BE. Then $H^*(X(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is isomorphic to the (positive degree part of) Dickson subalgebra $\mathbb{DA}$ of $H^*(E)$.

Let S be a simple $A_p(E, E)$-module corresponding to X_{ij}. The multiplicity $m(i)$ is equal to the dimension of S over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_p$ is a splitting field of $A_p(E, E)$. Dietz and Priddy [6] studied the stable splitting of BE, and in particular, determined the multiplicity $m(i)$. Here, we reprove this result using cohomology, see Proposition 10.1.

Note that it may be possible to consider mod p cohomology $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0}$ instead of $H^*(E) = (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes H^*(BE; \mathbb{Z}))/\sqrt{0}$. In general, $H^*(E)$ is a subalgebra of $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0}$ and coincide with $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0}$ if $p > 3$. But for $p = 3$, $H^*(E)$ is strictly smaller than $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0}$. Here, we consider only $H^*(E)$ because the structure of $H^*(E)$ is easier than $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0}$ for $p = 3$ and we can treat all odd primes p uniformly. Moreover, the $A_p(E, E)$-modules structure of $H^*(BE; \mathbb{F}_p)$ can be deduced from that of $H^*(E)$ by [20], [21].

Let P be a finite p-group. Let $A_p(P, P)$ be the double Burnside algebra over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Simple $A_p(P, P)$-modules are classified by [2], [14]. In this paper, we shall follow the functorial approach by Webb [19] and Bouc, Stancu and Thévenaz [4]. In section 3, we review some results in [4].

In section 4, we summarize the results on the structure of $H^*(E)$. In section 5, we study the cohomology of a maximal elementary p-subgroup A of E. We compare the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module structures of $H^*(A)$ and $H^*(E)$ using the morphism

$q^* : H^*(A) \longrightarrow H^*(E)$ induced by a surjective morphism $q : E \longrightarrow A$. Note that $\mathrm{Out}(E) \simeq \mathrm{Out}(A) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

In section 6, we calculate the image of the transfer map from the maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup A of E. In section 7, we study some $A_p(E, E)$-submodules of $H^*(E)$. In section 8, we consider simple $A_p(E, E)$-modules with cyclic minimal subgroup. Similarly, in section 9, we consider simple $A_p(E, E)$-modules with minimal subgroup A.

Using these results, in section 10, we determine $H^*(X_i) = H^*(E)e_i$ for every X_i. More precisely, we construct an $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace W of $H^*(E)$ such that the multiplication by e_i induces an isomorphism $W \xrightarrow{\sim} We_i = H^*(E)e_i = H^*(X_i)$. Note that, in general, neither W nor $H^*(X_i)$ is an $A_p(E, E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$.

2. Finite dimensional algebras and modules

Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra over a filed k. We denote the Jacobson radical of Λ by $\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda)$, namely, $\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of Λ. If e is a primitive idempotent in Λ, then $e\Lambda$ is a projective indecomposable right Λ-module and $e\Lambda/e\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda)$ is a simple right Λ-module. Let

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(i)} e_{ij}$$

be a decomposition of unity into primitive orthogonal idempotents in Λ, where

$$e_{ij}\Lambda/e_{ij}\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda) \simeq e_{mn}\Lambda/e_{mn}\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda)$$

if and only of $i = m$. Then

$$S_i = e_{i1}\Lambda/e_{i1}\mathrm{rad}(\Lambda) \ (1 \leq i \leq l)$$

gives the complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple right Λ-modules. Let $e_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(i)} e_{ij}$ and, in this paper, we call e_i an idempotent corresponding to the simple module S_i. Multiplication by e_i induces the identity map on S_i and $S_j e_i = 0$ for $j \neq i$. On the other hand, we have

$$S_i e_{i1} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(e_{i1}\Lambda, S_i) \simeq \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(S_i)$$

and the multiplicity $m(i)$ is equal to $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(S_i)} S_i$.

Let M be a finite dimensional right Λ-module. Then M has a composition series

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$$

such that each quotient M_j/M_{j-1} is a simple Λ-module. The number of quotients M_j/M_{j-1} such that $M_j/M_{j-1} \simeq S_i$ equals to

$$\dim_k Me_{i1}/\dim_k \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(S_i) = \dim_k Me_i/m(i)\dim_k \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(S_i) = \dim_k Me_i/\dim_k S_i.$$

If every composition factor of M is isomorphic to S_i, then $M = Me_i$ and the right multiplication by e_i induces the identity on M. In general case, to determine Me_i, we shall use the following lemma in section 10. Note that $H^*(E)$ is not finite dimensional, but each homogeneous part $H^n(E)$ is a finite dimensional $A_p(E, E)$-module and we can apply the lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a finite dimensional Λ-module and S a simple Λ-module. Let e be an idempotent corresponding to S. Let*

$$0 \subset L \subset N \subset M$$

be a sequence of Λ-submodules. Suppose that M/N has no composition factor which is isomorphic to S and every simple subqutiont module of N/L is isomorphic to S. If W is a k-subspace of N such that $N = L \oplus W$, then the multiplication by e induces an isomorphism

$$e : W \oplus Le \simeq We \oplus Le = Me.$$

Proof. Since $(M/N)e = 0$, we have $Me = Ne = We + Le$. Hence the map $e : W \oplus Le \longrightarrow Me$ is surjective. On the other hand, since $(N/L)e = N/L$, this map is injective and $Me = We \oplus Le$. □

3. Biset category and biset functor

In this section, we summarize the theory of biset category and functors in [4]. Here, we concentrate on right free bisets only, though, in [4], Bouc, Stancu and Thévenaz consider the general bisets.

Let G and H be finite groups and let $A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G,H)$ be the Grothendieck group of the category of the finite right free (G,H)-biset. Let $K \leq G$ and let $\varphi : K \longrightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism. We set

$$G \times_{(K,\varphi)} H = G \times H/\sim$$

where $(gk,h) \sim (g,\varphi(k)h)$ for $g \in G$, $k \in K$ and $h \in H$. Then every transitive right free (G,H)-set is isomorphic to $G \times_{(K,\varphi)} H$ for some K and $\varphi : K \longrightarrow H$. Let $\zeta_{K,\varphi}$ be the element of $A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G,H)$ corresponding to $G \times_{(K,\varphi)} H$. Thus $A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G,H)$ is a free abelian group with one basis element for each conjugacy class of the pair (K,φ) where $K \leq G$ and $\varphi : K \longrightarrow H$.

There exists a product

$$A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_2,G_3) \times A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_1,G_2) \longrightarrow A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_1,G_3)$$

induced by the map

$$(X,Y) \mapsto Y \times_{G_2} X.$$

If $H_1 \leq G_1$, $H_2 \leq G_2$, $\phi_1 : H_1 \longrightarrow G_2$, $\phi_2 : H_2 \longrightarrow G_3$, then the product is given by

$$\zeta_{H_2,\phi_2}\zeta_{H_1,\phi_1} = \sum_{x \in \phi_1(H_1)\backslash G_2/H_2} \zeta_{\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_1(H_1)\cap {}^xH_2),\phi_2 c_{x^{-1}}\phi_1}$$

(see [2, p.160]).

Let k be a field. We set $A_k(G,H) = k \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(G,H)$. Then $A_k(G,G)$ is a finite dimensional k-algebra. We denote by $J(G)$ the k-subspace of $A_k(G,G)$ spanned by all $\zeta_{H,\varphi}$ such that $\varphi(H) < G$. Then $J(G)$ is an ideal of $A_k(G,G)$.

There exists an injective k-algebra homomorphism

$$\iota : k\mathrm{Out}(G) \longrightarrow A_k(G,G)$$

given by $\varphi \mapsto \zeta_{G,\varphi}$ where we denote the automorphism of G which represents φ by the same notation φ. Note that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Out}(G)$ then $\zeta_{G,\varphi_1}\zeta_{G,\varphi_2} = \zeta_{G,\varphi_1\varphi_2}$ and in fact ι is an algebra homomorphism. On the other hand, there exists a surjective k-algebra homomorphism

$$\pi : A_k(G,G) \longrightarrow k\mathrm{Out}(G)$$

such that $\operatorname{Ker}\pi = J(G)$ and $\pi\iota$ is the identity map on $k\mathrm{Out}(G)$. We view $k\mathrm{Out}(G)$-modules as $A_k(G,G)$-modules via π. On the other hand, if W is an $A_k(G,G)$-module, then we can view W as a $k\mathrm{Out}(G)$-module via ι.

Let $\mathcal{C}_k$ be the k-linear category whose object are all finite groups and morphisms are given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(G, H) = A_k(G, H)$. A contravariant k-linear functor

$$\mathcal{C}_k \longrightarrow k\text{-Mod}$$

is called an inflation functor [19].

Let F be an inflation functor. If we define the right action of $A_k(H, H)$ on $F(H)$ by

$$v\phi = F(\phi)(v)$$

for $\phi \in A_k(H, H)$ and $v \in F(H)$, then $F(H)$ is a right $A_k(H, H)$-module since F is a contravariant functor. If V a k-subspace of $F(H)$, we set

$$VA_k(G, H) = \sum_{\phi \in A_k(G,H)} F(\phi)(V)$$

for a finite group G, then $VA_k(-, H)$ is a subfunctor of F.

Let W be a simple $A_k(G, G)$-module. Then the inflation functor

$$L_{G,W} = W \otimes_{A_k(G,G)} A_k(-, G).$$

has the unique maximal subfunctor $J_{G,W}$ and

$$S_{G,W} = L_{G,W}/J_{G,W}$$

is a simple functor, where

$$J_{G,W}(K) = \bigcap_{\phi \in A_k(G,K)} \mathrm{Ker}(L_{G,W}(\phi) : L_{G,W}(K) \longrightarrow L_{G,W}(G))$$

by [4, 2.3. Lemma].

Let S be a simple inflation functor. A minimal group of S is a group H of minimal order such that $S(H) \neq 0$. If H is a minimal subgroup of S and $V = S(H)$, then V is a simple $A_k(H, H)$-module by [4, 3.1. Proposition] and $S \simeq S_{H,V}$ by [4, 3.2. Proposition]. Let P be a finite group. If $S_{H,V}(P) \neq 0$, then $S_{H,V}(P)$ is a simple $A_k(P, P)$-module by [4, 3.1. Proposition]. In this paper, we write

$$S(P, H, V) = S_{H,V}(P)$$

if $S_{H,V}(P) \neq 0$ in order to emphasize that this is a nonzero simple $A_k(P, P)$-module. Conversely, let W be a simple $A_k(P, P)$-module. The minimal group of W is a group H of minimal order such that

$$WA_k(H, P)A_k(P, H) \neq 0.$$

If H is a minimal subgroup of W then there exists a simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module V such that $S_{P,W} \simeq S_{H,V}$ and

$$W \simeq S_{V,H}(P) = S(P, H, V)$$

as $A_k(P, P)$-modules by [4, 5.1. Proposition]. The following lemmas are almost same as [4, 3.5. Proposition]. We include proofs for completeness.

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be an inflation functor. Let H be a subgroup of P and V a simple $A_k(H, H)$-module. Suppose that $W = S_{H,V}(P) \neq 0$. Suppose that $V_2 \subset V_1$ are $A_k(H, H)$-submodules of $F(H)$ and $V_1/V_2 \simeq V$ as $A_k(H, H)$-modules. Let*

$F_i = V_iA_k(-,H)$ be the subfunctor of F generated by V_i. Then $M = F_1/F_2$ has the unique maximal subfunctor M' such that $M/M' \simeq S_{H,V}$ where

$$M'(X) = \bigcap_{\phi\in A_k(H,X)} \operatorname{Ker} M(\phi).$$

In particular, $M(P)/M'(P) \simeq W$.

Proof. Since we have an isomorphism,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L_{H,V}, F_1/F_2) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A_k(H,H)}(V, F_1(H)/F_2(H))$$

by [4, 2.2. Lemma] or section 2 in [3], there exists a nonzero morphism

$$\theta : L_{H,V} \longrightarrow M.$$

We define two subfunctors F_1' and F_2' of F by

$$F_1'/F_2 = \theta(L_{H,V}),\ F_2'/F_2 = \theta(J_{H,V}).$$

Then F_2'/F_2 is a unique maximal subfunctor of F_1'/F_2 and

$$F_1'/F_2' \simeq L_{H,V}/J_{H,V} \simeq S_{H,V}.$$

Since $(F_1/F_1')(H) = 0$ and $F_1(H) = V_1$, we have $F_1'(H) = V_1$. Hence we have that $F_1 = F_1'$ since F_1 is generated by V_1. Hence $M' = F_2'/F_2$ is a unique maximal subfunctor of M. The description of M' follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_{H,V}(X) \arrow[r, "\theta(X)"] \arrow[d, "L_{H,V}(\phi)"'] & M(X) \arrow[d, "M(\phi)"] \\
L_{H,V}(H) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & M(H).
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let F be an inflation functor. Let W be a simple $A_k(P,P)$-module with minimal subgroup H. Let V be a simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module such that $W = S_{H,V}(P)$. Suppose that $W_2 \subset W_1$ are $A_k(P,P)$-submodules of $F(P)$ such that $W_1/W_2 \simeq W$. Let $V_1 = W_1A_k(H,P)$. Then there exists an $A_k(H,H)$-submodules V_2 of V_1 such that $V_1/V_2 \simeq V$ as $A_k(H,H)$-modules and*

$$V_1A_k(P,H)/(V_1A_k(P,H) \cap W_2) \simeq W$$

as $A_k(P,P)$-modules.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $W_1A_k(-,P)$ has a maximal subfunctor E' containing the subfunctor $W_2A_k(-,P)$ such that

$$W_1A_k(-,P)/E' \simeq S_{P,W} \simeq S_{H,V}.$$

Let $V_2 = E'(H)$. Then $V_1/V_2 \simeq S_{H,V}(H) \simeq V$. Since $W \simeq W_1/W_2$ and $WA_k(H,P)A_k(P,H) = W$, it follows that

$$V_1A_k(P,H) + W_2 = W_1A_k(H,P)A_k(P,H) + W_2 = W_1.$$

Hence we have

$$V_1A_k(P,H)/(V_1A_k(P,H) \cap W_2) \simeq W_1/W_2 \simeq W.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let F be an inflation functor. Let H be a subgroup of P and V a simple $k\mathrm{Out}(H)$-module. Suppose that $W = S_{H,V}(P) \neq 0$. Let N be a submodule of $F(H)$. If $F(H)/N$ has no subquotient module isomorphic to V, then $F(P)/NA_k(P,H)$ has no subquotient module isomorphic to W.*

Proof. Let $\bar{F} = F/NA_k(-,H)$. If $F(P)/NA_k(P,H) = \bar{F}(P)$ has subquotionet module which is isomorphic to W, then $\bar{F}(H) = F(H)/N$ has a subquotient which is isomorphic to V by Lemma 3.2. This contradicts the assumption. □

Now assume that p is a prime number and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. We set

$$A_p(P,Q) = A_{\mathbb{F}_p}(P,Q) = \mathbb{F}_p \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(P,Q)$$

for finite group P, Q. Let $H^*(P) = (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes H^*(BP;\mathbb{Z}))/\sqrt{0}$. Let $H \leq P$ and let $\varphi : H \longrightarrow Q$ be a group morphism. The action of $\zeta_{H,\varphi} \in A_p(P,Q)$ on cohomology is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H^P \varphi^* : H^*(BQ;\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^*(BP;\mathbb{F}_p)$$

and $H(B(-);\mathbb{F}_p)$ is an inflation functor. Similarly, $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes H(B(-);\mathbb{Z})$ is an inflation functor. Moreover $H^*(-)$ is an inflation functor by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Q be a subgroup of P. If $x \in H^*(BQ;\mathbb{F}_p)$ is nilpotent, then $\mathrm{Tr}_Q^P(x)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. We will prove $y = \mathrm{Tr}_Q^P(x)$ is nilpotent. By Quillen's theorem [15], we only need to prove that $\mathrm{res}_A^P(y)$ is nilpotent for each elementary abelian p-subgroup A. By the double coset formula, $\mathrm{res}_A^P(y)$ is a sum of

(i) elements in the image of transfer map from the proper subgroup of A.
(ii) elements of the form $\mathrm{res}_A^P(x^t)$ where $x^t \in H^*(BQ^t, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the t-conjugate of y for some $t \in P$ such that $A \subset Q^t$.

Since A is elementary abelian, elements in (i) are zero. Since conjugation and restriction maps are of course algebra morphisms, elements in (ii) are also nilpotent. □

4. COHOMOLOGY OF $E = p^{1+2}$

Let p be an odd prime. The extraspecial p-group $E = p_+^{1+2}$ has a presentation as

$$E = \langle a, b, c \mid [a,b] = c,\ a^p = b^p = c^p = [a,c] = [b,c] = 1 \rangle.$$

The cohomology of E is well known. In particular ([10], [18], [21]), $H^*(E)$ is generated by

$$y_1,\ y_2,\ C,\ v$$

subject to the following relation:

$$y_1^p y_2 - y_1 y_2^p = 0,\ C y_i = y_i^p,\ C^2 = y_1^{2p-2} + y_2^{2p-2} - y_1^{p-1} y_2^{p-1}$$

where $|y_i| = 2$, $|C| = 2p-2$ and $|v| = 2p$. We write v^{p-1} by V.

Let R be a subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ elements of $H^*(E)$. We set

$$R\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} R x_i$$

if $x_1, \dots, x_r$ are linear independent over R. Moreover, if $W = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{F}_p x_i$ is a $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space spanned by $x_1, \dots, x_r$, then we set

$$R\{W\} = R\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}.$$

Lemma 4.1 ([21, section 3]). *We have the following expression,*

$$H^*(E) = \mathbb{F}_p[C, v]\{y_1^i y_2^j | 0 \le i, j \le p-1, (i,j) \ne (p-1, p-1)\}.$$

The maximal elementary abelian p-subgroups of E are

$$A_i = \langle c, ab^i \rangle \text{ for } 0 \le i \le p-1, \quad A_\infty = \langle c, b \rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(E)$ be the set of all elementary abelian p-subgroup of E, thus,

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \{A_0, \dots, A_{p-1}, A_\infty\}.$$

Letting $H^*(A) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[y, u]$ and writing $i_A^*(x) = x|A$ for the inclusion $i_A \colon A \subset E$, the images of restriction maps are given by

$$y_1|A_i = y \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{F}_p,\ y_1|A_\infty = 0, \quad y_2|A_i = iy \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{F}_p,\ y_2|A_\infty = y,$$
$$C|A_i = y^{p-1}, \quad v|A_i = u^p - y^{p-1}u \quad \text{for all } i.$$

The action of $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Out}(E)$ is given by

$$g(a) = a^\alpha b^\gamma, g(b) = a^\beta b^\delta,\ g(c) = c^{det(g)}.$$

and the action of g on the cohomology is given by ([10], [18, p.491])

$$g^*C = C,\ g^*y_1 = \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2,\ g^*y_2 = \gamma y_1 + \delta y_2,\ g^*v = (\det(g))v.$$

We consider the $\mathrm{Out}(E)$-module decomposition of $H^*(E)$. Let S^i be the homogeneous part of degree $2i$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[y_1, y_2]$. Thus, for $0 \le i \le p-1$,

$$S^i = \mathbb{F}_p\{y_1^i, y_1^{i-1}y_2, \dots, y_2^i\}.$$

Recall that $\mathbb{F}_p\{v\} \simeq \det$ as $\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules where det is the one dimensional determinant representation. Then $p(p-1)$ simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules

$$S^i v^q \simeq S^i \otimes (\det)^q \quad (0 \le i \le p-1, 0 \le q \le p-2)$$

give the complete set of representatives of nonisomorphic simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules. Let us write

$$\mathbb{CA} = \mathbb{F}_p[C, V]$$

and

$$\mathbb{DA} = \mathbb{F}_p[D_1, D_2]$$

where $D_1 = C^p + V$, $D_2 = CV$. Then

$$\mathbb{CA} = H^*(E)^{\mathrm{Out}(E)}$$

the $\mathrm{Out}(E)$-invariants, and

$$\mathbb{DA}|A = H^*(A)^{\mathrm{Out}(A)}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{CA} = \mathbb{DA}\{1, C, \dots, C^p\}.$$

Next, we study the $\mathrm{Out}(E)$-module structure of S^j for $j = (p-1) + i$ with $1 \le i \le p-2$. Let

$$T^i = \mathbb{F}_p\{y_1^{p-1}y_2^i,\ y_1^{p-2}y_2^{i+1},\ \dots,\ y_1^i y_2^{p-1}\}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$. In particular, $T^0 = S^{p-1}$. Then we have

$$S^j = \mathbb{F}_p\{y_1^j,\ y_1^{j-1}y_2,\ ...,\ y_1^p y_2^{i-1},\ y_2^j,\ T^i\}$$

since, in $H^*(E)$, we have the relation $y_1^p y_2 - y_1 y_2^p = 0$, and hence (for $i \geq 2$)

$$y_1^{j-1}y_2 = y_1^{i-1}y_2^p,\ y_1^{j-2}y_2^2 = y_1^{i-2}y_2^{p+1},\ ...,\ y_1^p y_2^{i-1} = y_1 y_2^{j-1}.$$

Since $y_1^j = Cy_1^i,\ ...,\ y_1^p y_2^{i-1} = Cy_1 y_2^{i-1},\ y_2^j = Cy_2^i$, we can write $S^j = CS^i + T^i$. In general, we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$S^{m(p-1)+i} = C^{m-1}(CS^i + T^i)$$

where $S^0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $T^0 = S^{p-1}$. In particular, the subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ generated by y_1 and y_2 is written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S^i + \mathbb{F}_p[C]C(\mathbb{F}_p C + S^{p-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \mathbb{F}_p[C](CS^i + T^i).$$

The $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace CS^i is a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-submodule of $C^{p-1+i} = CS^i + T^i$. Let $\bar{T}^i = S^{p-1+i}/(CS^i) = (CS^i + T^i)/CS^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-2$.

Lemma 4.3 (Glover [8, (5.7)])**.** *As $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules,*

$$\bar{T}^i \cong (S^{p-1-i} \otimes \det{}^i).$$

Proof. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $g' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We set $\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}} = y_1^i y_2^{p-1} + CS^i \in \bar{T}^i$. Then $\mathbb{F}_p\{\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}}\}$ is the unique nonzero minimal $\mathbb{F}_p\langle g\rangle$-submodule of $\bar{T}^i$. On the other hand, $\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}}$ generates $\bar{T}^i$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p\langle g'\rangle$-module, hence it follows that $\bar{T}^i$ is a simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module. Since $\dim \bar{T}^i = p-i$, it is isomorphic to $S^{p-i-1} \otimes \det^q$ for some $0 \leq q \leq p-2$. Since $\mathbb{F}_p\{y_2^{p-i-1} \otimes \det^q\}$ is the unique nonzero minimal $\mathbb{F}_p\langle g\rangle$-submodule of $S^{p-i-1} \otimes \det^q$, there exists an $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-isomorphism $\bar{T}^i \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{p-i-1} \otimes \det^q$ sending $\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}}$ to $y_2^{p-i-1} \otimes \det^q$.

Now consider the action of $t = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Since

$$t^*(\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}}) = \lambda_1^i(\overline{y_1^i y_2^{p-1}})$$

and

$$t^*(y_2^{p-i-1} \otimes \det{}^q) = \lambda_2^{p-i-1}(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^q(y_2^{p-i-1} \otimes \det{}^q),$$

we have $i = q$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

From Lemma 4.1, we have the following.

Theorem 4.4.

$$H^*(E) = \mathbb{F}_p[C, v]\{(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} S^i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-2} T^i)\} = \mathbb{CA}\{\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2}\bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-2}(S^i v^q \oplus T^i v^q))\}.$$

Let $e_{i,q}$ be an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to the simple module $S^i \otimes \det^q$, namely, $(S^i \otimes \det^q)e_{i,q} = S^i \otimes \det^q$ and $Se_{i,q} = 0$ for a simple module which is not isomorphic to $S^i \otimes \det^q$. Let $H_{i,q} = H^*(E)e_{i,q}$.

Corollary 4.5. *We have the following isomorphisms as $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces.*
(1) *For $0 \leq q \leq p-2$,*

$$H_{0,q} = \mathbb{CA}\{v^q\}, \quad H_{p-1,q} = \mathbb{CA}\{S^{p-1}v^q\}.$$

(2) *Let $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq q \leq p-2$. Assume $i+q \equiv m \mod p-1$ where $0 \leq m \leq p-2$, then*

$$H_{i,q} \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{S^i v^q \oplus T^{p-1-i}v^m\}.$$

We shall need the following lemma in the proof of Proposition 9.2.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $r = n(p-1)-2$ for $0 \leq n \leq p-1$. If $0 \leq m \leq p-2$, then $vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E)$ has no composition factor isomorphic to S^m as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module.*

Proof. Let R be the subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ generated by y_1, y_2 and C. Then $H^*(E) \simeq R \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[v]$. Set

$$K(m) = \bigoplus_{i \equiv m \mod (p-1)} (R\{v, \ldots, v^{p-2}\} \cap H^{2i}(E)).$$

First, we claim that $vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E) \subset K(m)$. Since $r-(p-2)p < 0$, we have

$$H^{2r}(E) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p-3} (R \cap H^{2(r-ip)})v^i \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p-3} Rv^i.$$

Hence $vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E) \subset R\{v, \ldots, v^{p-2}\}$. Then we have $vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E) \subset K(m)$ since

$$\deg vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E) = 2(p+m+1+r) \equiv m \bmod 2(p-1).$$

Now, we show that $K(m)$ has no composition factor isomorphic to S^m. If $m=0$, then the result holds since $H_{0,0} = \mathbb{CA}$ by Corollary 4.5 and $\mathbb{CA} \cap R\{v, \ldots, v^{p-2}\} = 0$. Next assume that $1 \leq m \leq p-2$. Then $H_{m,0} = \mathbb{CA}\{S^m \oplus T^{p-1-m}v^m\}$ and the degree of each nonzero homogeneous part of $\mathbb{CA}\{T^{p-1-m}v^m\}$ is equivalent to 0 modulo $2(p-1)$. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{CA}\{S^m\} \subset R[V]$, we have $\mathbb{CA}\{S^m\} \cap K(m) = 0$. Hence $K(m)$ has no simple subquoitent submodule isomorphic to S^m. This completes the proof. □

We consider the image of the inflation map from a cyclic quotient.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then the elements*

$$y_1^i, (y_1+y_2)^i, \ldots, (y_1+iy_2)^i$$

span S^i.

Proof. If $0 \leq k \leq i$, then

$$(y_1+ky_2)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} y_1^{i-j}k^j y_2^j = \sum_{j=0}^{i} k^j (\binom{i}{j} y_1^{i-j}y_2^j).$$

Since

$$\binom{i}{j} y_1^{i-j}y_2^j, \ 0 \leq j \leq i$$

is a basis of S^i and the $(i+1)\times(i+1)$-matrix $(k^j)_{k,j}$ is invertible, we have that

$$(y_1+ky_2)^i, \ 0 \leq k \leq i$$

is a basis of S^i. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $p \leq n$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then*

$$(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^n = C(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^{n-p+1}.$$

Proof. Since

$$(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^p = \lambda_1 y_1^p + \lambda_2 y_2^p = C(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)$$

we have

$$(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^n = (\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^p (\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^{n-p} = C(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^{n-p+1}.$$

□

Corollary 4.9. *Let $Q \leq E$ be a subgroup of order p. Then*

$$\sum_{\varphi : E \twoheadrightarrow Q} \varphi^* H^+(Q) = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\Big(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} S^i\Big).$$

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{\varphi : E \twoheadrightarrow Q} \varphi^*(H^{2n}(Q)) = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)^n$$

for $n > 0$, the results follows from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. □

5. Cohomology of A

In this section, we study the structure of $H^*(A)$, where A is a rank 2 elementary abelian p-subgroup of E so that $H^*(A) = \mathbb{F}_p[y, u]$. We fix a quotient map

$$q : E \longrightarrow E/\langle c \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} A$$

and consider the induced map $q^* : H^*(A) \longrightarrow H^*(E)$. We may assume that $q^*(y) = y_1$ and $q^*(u) = y_2$. This map is a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module morphism. Here, we identify $\mathrm{Out}(E)$, $\mathrm{Out}(A)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The kernel of q^* is given by

$$\mathrm{Ker}\, q^* = H^*(A) d_2 = \mathbb{F}_p[y, u] d_2$$

where $d_2 = yu^p - y^p u$. The image of q^* is a subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ generated by y_1 and y_2.

Let $S(A)^i = H^{2i}(A)$. Then q^* induces a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-isomorphism $S(A)^i \simeq S^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Moreover $S(A)^i \otimes \det^q$, $0 \leq i \leq p-2$, $0 \leq q \leq p-2$ give the complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules.

Next we described simple $A_p(A, A)$-modules. Let $Q \leq A$ be a subgroup of order p. Then $\mathrm{Out}(Q)$ is a cyclic group of order $p-1$. Let $U_i = \mathbb{F}_p u_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq p-2)$ be the simple right $\mathrm{Out}(Q)$-module defined by $u_i \sigma = \lambda^i u_i$ where $\mathrm{Out}(Q) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_p^\times = \langle \lambda \rangle$. Note that if $n \equiv i \pmod{p-1}$ where $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, then

$$H^{2n}(Q) \simeq U_i$$

as right kQ-modules. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_Q^A(H^*(Q)) = 0$, we have

$$H^*(Q) A_p(A, Q) = \sum_{\varphi : A \twoheadrightarrow Q} \varphi^*(H^*(Q)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p (\lambda_1 y + \lambda_2 u)^n.$$

In particular, if $1 \leq n \leq p-1$, we have $H^{2n}(Q) A_p(A, Q) = H^{2n}(A)$. Since

$$\bigcap_{R < A} \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{res}_R^A : H^*(A) \longrightarrow H^*(R)) = d_2 H^*(A),$$

it follows that

$$\bigcap_{\phi\in A(Q,A)} \mathrm{Ker}(\phi : H^n(A) \longrightarrow H^n(Q)) = 0$$

for $1 \le n \le p-1$. Hence $H^{2n}(A) \simeq S(A,Q,U_n)$ for $1 \le n \le p-2$ and $H^{2(p-1)}(A) \simeq S(A,Q,U_0)$ by Proposition 3.1, and these modules give all simple $A_p(A,A)$-module with minimal subgroup Q by Proposition 3.2. Hence we have the following classification of simple $A_p(A,A)$-modules.

Proposition 5.1 (Harris and Kuhn [7, Example 8.1.]). *Up to isomorphisms, the simple $A_p(A,A)$-modules are given as follows.*
(1) $S(A,A,S(A)^i \otimes \det^q)$ $(0 \le i \le p-1,\ 0 \le q \le p-2)$.
(2) $S(A,Q,U_i)$ $(0 \le i \le p-2)$,

$$\dim S(A,Q,U_i) = \begin{cases} p & (i=0) \\ i+1 & (1 \le 0 \le p-2). \end{cases}$$

(3) *One dimensional module with trivial minimal subgroup.*

Now we consider the structure of $H^*(A)$ as an $A_p(A,A)$-module. First, we see $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module structure more closely and specify all simple submodules of $H^*(A)$ isomorphic to $S^{p-1} \otimes \det^i$ for $0 \le i \le p-2$.

Since C^jS^{p-1} is contained in $\mathrm{Im}\, q^*$ for any $j \ge 0$, we have the following short exact sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow d_2H^*(A) \longrightarrow (q^*)^{-1}(C^jS^{p-1}) \longrightarrow C^jS^{p-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since C^jS^{p-1} is projective as an $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module, this exact sequence splits. Hence, in particular, for $0 \le j \le p-1$, there exist $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-submodules W_j such that

$$(q^*)^{-1}(C^jS^{p-1}) = W_j \oplus d_2H^*(A).$$

Note that since

$$y_1^{(j+1)(p-1)},\ y_1^{(j+1)(p-1)-1}y_2, \ldots, y_1^{(j+1)(p-1)-(p-2)}y_2^{p-2},\ y_2^{(j+1)(p-1)}$$

is a basis of C^jS^{p-1}, it follows that

$$q^*(y^{(j+1)(p-1)}),\ q^*(y^{(j+1)(p-1)-1}u), \ldots, q^*(y^{(j+1)(p-1)-(p-2)}u^{p-2}),\ q^*(u^{(j+1)(p-1)})$$

is a basis of C^jS^{p-1}.

Next, we consider Dickson subalgebra of $H^*(A)$. Let $\tilde{D_1} = \mathrm{res}^E_A(D_1) = y^{p(p-1)} + \mathrm{res}^E_A(V)$ and $\tilde{D_2} = \mathrm{res}^E_A(D_2) = d_2^{p-1}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{DA}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}, \tilde{D_2}]$. Then $\tilde{\mathbb{DA}} = H^*(A)^{\mathrm{Out}(A)}$ and the restriction map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{res}^E_A : \mathbb{DA} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbb{DA}}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$\tilde{D_1}y^{(j+1)(p-1)-l}u^l \equiv y^{(p+j+1)(p-1)-l}u^l \pmod{d_2H^*(A)}$$

for any $0 \le l \le p-2$ and

$$\tilde{D_1}u^{(j+1)(p-1)} \equiv u^{(p+j+1)(p-1)} \pmod{d_2H^*(A)}.$$

In particular,

$$(q^*)^{-1}(C^{j+mp}S^{p-1}) = \tilde{D_1}^m W_j \oplus d_2H^*(A)$$

for any $m \ge 0$ and $0 \le j \le p-1$.

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{D}_1 y^{(j+1)(p-1)-l}u^l &= (y^{p(p-1)} + \mathrm{res}^E_A(v)^{p-1})y^{(j+1)(p-1)-l}u^l \\ &\equiv y^{(p+j+1)(p-1)-l}u^l\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq l \leq p-2$ since $\mathrm{res}^E_A(v)y = d_2$. On the other hand, modulo $d_2H^*(A)$,

$$y^{p(p-1)}u^{(j+1)(p-1)} \equiv (y^p u)^{p-1}u^{j(p-1)} \equiv (yu^p)^{p-1}u^{j(p-1)} = y^{p-1}u^{(p+j)(p-1)}$$

$$(u^p - y^{p-1}u)^{p-1} \equiv (u^p - y^{p-1}u)u^{p(p-2)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(u^p - y^{p-1}u)^{p-1}u^{(j+1)(p-1)} &\equiv (u^p - y^{p-1}u)u^{(p+j)(p-1)-1} \\ &= u^{(p+j+1)(p-1)} - y^{p-1}u^{(p+j)(p-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\tilde{D}_1 u^{(j+1)(p-1)} = (y^{p(p-1)} + (u^p - y^{p-1}u)^{p-1})u^{(j+1)(p-1)} \equiv u^{(p+j+1)(p-1)}.$$

□

There exists a sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-submodules,

$$H^*(A) \supset d_2H^*(A) \supset d_2^2H^*(A) \supset \cdots .$$

We shall consider each factor module $d_2^mH^*(A)/d_2^{m+1}H^*(A)$. Note that, since $d_2g = g^*(d_2) = (\det g)d_2$ for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{DA}} = H^*(A)^{\mathrm{Out}(A)}$, it follows that

$$\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n \simeq S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det{}^m$$

for any m. First, we consider the factor module $H^*(A)/d_2H^*(A)$.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a sequence of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$*-submodules,*

$$H^*(A) \supset (\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\} \oplus d_2H^*(A)) \supset d_2H^*(A).$$

Moreover,

$$H^*(A)/(\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\} \oplus d_2H^*(A))$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^i$ *for any* i.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, q^* induces isomorphisms,

$$H^*(A)/(\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\} \oplus d_2H^*(A)) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, y_2]/\mathbb{F}_p[C]S^{p-1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\} \simeq (\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\} \oplus d_2H^*(A))/d_2H^*(A) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[C]S^{p-1}.$$

Hence the result follows from Proposition 4.2. □

Next we consider the factor module $d_2^mH^*(A)/d_2^{m+1}H^*(A)$ for general $m \geq 0$. Since d_2^m induces an isomorphism,

$$(H^*(A)/d_2H^*(A)) \otimes \det{}^m \simeq d_2^mH^*(A)/d_2^{m+1}H^*(A),$$

we have the following.

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a sequence of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$*-modules,*

$$d_2^m H^*(A) \supset (\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\} \oplus d_2^{m+1} H^*(A)) \supset d_2^{m+1} H^*(A).$$

Moreover,

$$d_2^m H^*(A)/(\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}]\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\} \oplus d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^i$ *for any* i*.*

Since $d_2^{p-1} = \tilde{D_2}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{DA}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{D_1}, \tilde{D_2}]$, we have the following by Lemma 5.3 and 5.4.

Proposition 5.5. *For any* $0 \le m \le p-2$*, the submodule*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\}$$

is a sum of all simple submodules of $H^*(A)$ *isomorphic to* $S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m$*. In particular,*

$$H^*(A)/\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\}$$

has no simple subquotient module isomorphic to $S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m$*.*

Now, we consider $A_p(A,A)$-module structure of $H^*(A)$. Let $J(A)$ be the ideal of $A_p(A,A)$ generated by bisets which factor through a proper subgroup of A. If $L^* = \oplus_{n \ge 0} L^n$ is a graded vector subspace of $H^*(E)$, we set $L^+ = \oplus_{n>0} L^n$, the positive degree part of L^*.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $Q \le A$ *be a subgroup of order* p*. Let*

$$L^n(Q) = H^n(Q)A_p(A,Q) + (d_2 H^*(A) \cap H^n(A))$$

and

$$L^*(Q) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} L^n(Q) = H^*(Q)A_p(A,Q) + d_2 H^*(A).$$

Then we have the following.
(1) *We have a sequence of* $A_p(A,A)$*-submodules,*

$$H^*(A) \supset L^*(Q) \supset d_2 H^*(A).$$

(2) *We have* $H^*(A)J(A) \subset H^*(Q)A_p(A,Q)$*. In particular, every simple subquotient module of* $H^*(A)/L^*(Q)$ *has minimal subgroup* A*. Moreover* $H^*(A)/L^*(Q)$ *has no simple subquotient module isomorphic to* $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^i)$ *for any* i*.*
(3) *The factor module* $L^+(Q)/d_2H^*(A)$ *is a direct sum of* $A_p(A,A)$*-modules with minimal subgroup* Q*. More precisely, if* $n > 0$ *and* $n \equiv i \pmod{p-1}$ *where* $0 \le i \le p-2$*, then*

$$L^{2n}(Q)/(d_2 H^*(A) \cap H^{2n}(A)) \simeq S(A,Q,U_i).$$

(4) *We have* $d_2 H^*(A)J(A) = 0$*. In particular, every simple subquotinet module of* $d_2 H^*(A)$ *has minimal subgroup* A*.*

Proof. We have $d_2H^*(A)J(A) = 0$ since the restriction of d_2 to any proper subgroup is zero. Hence $d_2H^*(A)$ is an $A_p(A, A)$-submodule and the claims in (1) and (4) are proved.

Since A is an elementary abelian p-group, any transfer from proper subgroup to A is zero. Hence it follows that

$$H^*(A)J(A) \subset H^*(Q)A_p(A, Q) = \sum_{\varphi : A \twoheadrightarrow Q} \varphi^* H^*(Q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\lambda_1 y + \lambda_2 u)^n.$$

Then q^* induces an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules,

$$H^*(A)/L^*(Q) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, y_2]/\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} S^i\}$$

and

$$L^*(Q)/d_2H^*(A) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} S^i\}$$

by Corollary 4.9, where $\mathbb{F}_p[y_1, y_2]$ is a subalgebra of $H^*(E)$ generated by y_1 and y_2. It follows that no simple subquotient module of $H^*(A)/L^*(Q)$ is isomorphic to $S(A, A, S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^i)$ by Proposition 4.2.

On the other hand, since $\bigcap_{R<A} \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{res}^A_R = d_2H^*(A)$, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in A(Q,A)} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi : H^*(Q)A_p(A, Q) \longrightarrow H^*(Q)) = H^*(Q)A_p(A, Q) \cap d_2H^*(A).$$

Since $H^+(Q)$ is a direct sum of simple $A(Q, Q)$-modules with minimal subgroup Q, it follows that

$$L^+(Q)/d_2H^*(A) \simeq H^+(Q)A_p(A, Q)/(H^+(Q)A_p(A, Q) \cap d_2H^*(A))$$

is a direct sum of simple $A_p(A, A)$-modules with minimal subgroup Q by Lemma 3.2. This completes the proof of (2) and (3). □

Finally, we shall specify simple $A_p(A, A)$-submodules of $H^*(A)$ isomorphic to $S(A, A, S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^i)$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $i, j \geq 0$, $0 \leq m \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq n \leq p-1$. If $j + m > 0$, then $\tilde{D}_1^{\,i} \tilde{D}_2^{\,j} d_2^m W_n$ is an $A_p(A, A)$-submodule with minimal subgroup A, namely,*

$$\tilde{D}_1^{\,i} \tilde{D}_2^{\,j} d_2^m W_n \simeq S(A, A, S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m)$$

as $A_p(A, A)$-modules. The quotient module

$$H^*(A)/(\widetilde{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} \tilde{D}_2 W_n\})$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A, A, S(A)^{p-1})$. On the other hand, if $m > 0$, then the quotient module

$$H^*(A)/(\widetilde{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\})$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A, A, S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m)$.

Proof. First, note that $\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n \simeq S(A)^{p-1}\otimes \det^m$ as $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules. By Lemma 5.6(4), $\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n$ is an $A_p(A,A)$-submodule and

$$\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n \simeq S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1}\otimes \det^m).$$

If $1\le m\le p-2$, then, as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module,

$$H^*(A)/(\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\})$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A)^{p-1}\otimes\det^m$ by Proposition 5.5. Hence, as an $A_p(A,A)$-module,

$$H^*(A)/(\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} d_2^m W_n\})$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1}\otimes\det^m)$.

Next suppose $m=0$. By Lemma 5.6 (2)(3), $H^*(A)/d_2H^*(A)$ has no subquotient module which isomorphic to $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1})$. Hence it follows that $\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}W_n\}/\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\tilde{D}_2W_n\}$ has no subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1})$ since

$$\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} W_n\}\cap d_2H^*(A) = \tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1} \tilde{D}_2 W_n\}.$$

On the other hand, $H^*(A)/\tilde{\mathbb{DA}}\{\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}W_n\}$ has no subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1})$ by Proposition 5.5. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

6. Images of transfer maps

We study the image of transfer from maximal elementary abelian p-subgroups. Let $M_i = CS^i + T^i$ for $0\le i\le p-2$ where $S^0=\mathbb{F}_p$, $T^0=S^{p-1}$. Moreover, let $\hat{y}_A = y_1$ if $A=A_i$, $i\in\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\hat{y}_A=y_2$ if $A=A_\infty$. Note that $\mathrm{res}^E_A(\hat{y}_A)=y$ for any $A\in\mathcal{A}(E)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $A\in\mathcal{A}(E)$.*
(1) *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}^E_A(u^{p-1}) = \begin{cases} (iy_1-y_2)^{p-1}-C & (A=A_i,\ 0\le i\le p-1)\\ y_1^{p-1}-C & (A=A_\infty).\end{cases}$$

(2) *Suppose that $0\le j\le p-2$ and $0\le m\le p$, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}^E_A(u^{m(p-1)+j}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0\le m\le j\le p-2)\\ \binom{m-1}{j} v^jC^{m-j-1}\mathrm{Tr}^E_A(u^{p-1}) & (0\le j<m,\ j\le p-2).\end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{Tr}^E_A(u^{m(p-1)+j})\in\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{v^jM_0\}.$$

Moreover, for any $l\ge 1$,

$$C^{l-1}\mathrm{Tr}^E_A(u^{p-1}) = (-1)^{l-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(u^{p-1}))^l.$$

(3) *If* $0 \leq m \leq p-2$ *then* $\hat{y}_A^m \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1})$ $(A \in \mathcal{A}(E))$ *is a basis of* M_m.
(4) *For* $1 \leq n \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E((y^{p-1}-u^{p-1})^n) &= -(C+\mathrm{Tr}(u^{p-1}))^n + C^n \\ &= \begin{cases} -(iy_1-y_2)^{n(p-1)}+C^n & (A = A_i,\ 0 \leq i \leq p-1) \\ -y_1^{n(p-1)}+C^n & (A = A_\infty). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Tr}_A^E((y^{p-1}-u^{p-1})^n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{M_0\}$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{res}_A^E(v) = u^p - y^{p-1}u$ and $\mathrm{res}_A^E(C) = y^{p-1}$, we have $u^p = \mathrm{res}_A^E(v) + (\mathrm{res}_A^E(C))u$.
(1) First, note that by the double coset formula,

$$\mathrm{res}_{A_i}^E \mathrm{Tr}_{A_j}^E(u^{p-1}) = \begin{cases} -y^{p-1} & (i=j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j) \end{cases} \tag{6.1.1}$$

for $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1, \infty$. Let $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{res}_{A_i}^E((jy_1-y_2)^{p-1}-C) &= (jy-iy)^{p-1} - y^{p-1} = ((j-i)^{p-1}-1)y^{p-1} \\ &= \begin{cases} -y^{p-1} & (i=j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{res}_{A_\infty}^E((jy_1-y_2)^{p-1}-C) = (-y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1} = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{res}_{A_i}^E(y_1^{p-1}-C) = \begin{cases} y^{p-1}-y^{p-1} = 0 & (0 \leq i \leq p-1) \\ -y^{p-1} & (i = \infty). \end{cases}$$

By Quillen's theorem [15], we get the equation.
(2) First assume that $0 \leq m \leq j \leq p-2$. We proceed by induction on m. The case $m=0$ is trivial. Let $0 < m \leq j \leq p-2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u^{m(p-1)+j} &= u^p u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} \\ &= (\mathrm{res}_A^E(v) + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} \\ &= \mathrm{res}_A^E(v)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+j}) = v\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)}) + C\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+j}) = 0$$

by induction. Next assume $0 \leq j < m \leq p$, $j \leq p-2$. If $m=1$ then $j=0$ and the result is trivial. Assume $1 < m \leq p$. If $j=0$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{m(p-1)} &= u^p u^{(m-2)(p-1)+(p-2)} \\ &= (\mathrm{res}_A^E(v) + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u)u^{(m-2)(p-1)+(p-2)} \\ &= \mathrm{res}_A^E(v)u^{(m-2)(p-1)+(p-2)} + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u^{(m-1)(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)}) = C\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)}) = C^{m-1}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}).$$

Note that $m-2 \leq p-2$ since $m \leq p$. On the other hand, if $0 < j < m \leq p$, $j \leq p-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u^{m(p-1)+j} &= u^p u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} \\ &= (\mathrm{res}_A^E(v) + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} \\ &= \mathrm{res}_A^E(v)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(j-1)} + \mathrm{res}_A^E(C)u^{(m-1)(p-1)+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $j < m-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+j}) \\ = \ & v \binom{m-2}{j-1} v^{j-1} C^{m-j-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) + C \binom{m-2}{j} v^j C^{m-j-2} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \\ = \ & \binom{m-1}{j} v^j C^{m-j-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $j = m-1$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+j}) = v \binom{m-2}{j-1} v^{j-1} C^{m-j-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) = v^j C^{m-j-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1})) = \begin{cases} -y^{p-1} & (A' = A) \\ 0 & (A' \neq A), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}))^l = \mathrm{res}_{A'}^E((-1)^{l-1} C^{l-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}))$$

for any A'.

(3) By (1), $\hat{y}_A^m \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \in M_m$. On the other hand, $\hat{y}_A^m \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1})$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ are linearly independent by (6.1.1). Hence the results follows since $\dim M_m = p+1$.

(4) By (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E((y^{p-1} - u^{p-1})^n) &= \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j y^{(p-1)(n-j)} u^{(p-1)j}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j C^{n-j} (-1)^{j-1} (\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}))^j \\ &= -\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} C^{n-j} (\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}))^j + C^n \\ &= -(C + \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}))^n + C^n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. (1) *If $m \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-2$, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+i}) \in \mathbb{CA}\{v^i M_0\}.$$

(2) *If $m \geq 1$, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)}) \equiv C^{m-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \mod \mathbb{CA}\{V M_0\}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)}) \in \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}.$$

(3) *For any $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E((u^{p-1} - y^{p-1})^n) \in \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{res}_A^E(v) = u^p - y^{p-1}u$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^k) = C \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{k-(p-1)}) + v \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{k-p})$$

for $k \geq p$.

We prove (1) and (2) by induction on m. If $m = 0$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^i) = 0$. If $m = 1$ then the results follows by Lemma 6.1. Assume that $m > 1$. If $1 \leq i \leq p-2$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+i}) = C\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+i}) + v\mathrm{Tr}(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+i-1})$$

and the right hand side is contained in $\mathbb{CA}\{v^i M_0\}$ by induction.

On the other hand, modulo $\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)}) &= C\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)}) + v\mathrm{Tr}(u^{(m-2)(p-1)+(p-2)}) \\ &\equiv C\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)}) \\ &\equiv CC^{m-2}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \\ &= C^{m-1}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

by induction. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)}y^{k(p-1)}) = C^k\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)})$, (3) follows from (2). □

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose* $1 \leq q \leq p-2$. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow A' \leq E$ *be an isomorphism. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)^q) \in C^{q-1}M_q.$$

Proof. For any φ, $\varphi^*\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v) = \lambda\mu$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and μ equals to $\mathrm{res}_A^E(v)$ or some E-conjugate of $y(u^{p-1} - y^{p-1})$. If $\varphi^*\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v) = \lambda\mathrm{res}_A^E(v)$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\varphi^*\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v) = \lambda\mu$ and μ is E-conjugate of $y(u^{p-1}-y^{p-1})$, then $\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)^q) \in \mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(y^q(u^{p-1} - y^{p-1})^q) \subset \hat{y}_A^q C^{q-1}M_0 \subset C^{q-1}M_q$ by Lemma 6.1(4). □

Lemma 6.4. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow A' \leq E$ *be an isomorphism. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v^jS^j)) = 0$$

for any $j \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v^jS^j)) \subset \mathbb{F}_p d_2^j$, the image of transfer map is zero. □

For $A' \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, let $y' \in H^2(A')$ be the element corresponding to $y \in H^2(A)$, that is, $y' = \mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(\hat{y}_{A'})$. Note that $\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(S^i) = \mathbb{F}_p(y')^i$ and $\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C) = (y')^{p-1}$.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that* $0 \leq m \leq p$ *and* $0 \leq i, q \leq p-2$. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow A' \leq E$ *be an isomorphism. Then the element*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^mv^q)(y')^i)$$

is contained in the following $\mathbb{F}_p$*-subspace* W*:*

condition	W
$q \leq i,\ m+q < i+1$	0
$q \leq i,\ m+q \geq i+1$	$C^{m+q-i-1}v^iM_q$
$i < q,\ m > 0,\ m+q < p+i+1$	0
$i < q,\ m > 0,\ m+q \geq p+i+1$	$C^{m+q-p-i-1}Vv^iM_q$
$i < q,\ m = 0$	$C^{q-i-1}v^iM_q$

Proof. Assume $q \leq i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^mv^q)(y')^i) &= \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*((\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)y')^q\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^m)(y')^{i-q}) \\ &\subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*((y')^{m(p-1)+(i-q)}) \\ &\subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+(i-q)}) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 6.1. Next, assume $i < q$ and $m > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^m v^q)(y')^i) &= \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*((\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)y')^q \mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^{m-1})(y')^{p-1-(q-i)}) \\
&\subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*((y')^{(m-1)(p-1)+(p-1-(q-i))}) \\
&\subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(p-1-(q-i))})
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 6.1. Finally, assume $i < q$ and $m = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v^q)(y')^i) &= \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*((\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)y')^i \mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)^{q-i}) \\
&\subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^i \mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(v)^{q-i}) \\
&\subset (v\hat{y}_A)^i C^{q-i-1} M_{q-i} \\
&\subset C^{q-i-1} v^i M_q
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.3. □

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i, q \leq p-2$. Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow A' \leq E$ be an isomorphism. Then the element*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^m v^q)(y')^i)$$

is contained in the following $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace W:

condition	W
$q \leq i$	$\mathbb{CA}\{v^i M_q\}$
$i < q,\ m > 0$	$\mathbb{CA}\{V v^i M_q\}$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of the previous lemma using Lemma 6.2 instead of Lemma 6.1. Assume $q \leq i$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^m v^q)(y')^i) \subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{m(p-1)+(i-q)})$$

and the result follows from Lemma 6.2. Next, assume $i < q$ and $m > 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(\mathrm{res}_{A'}^E(C^m v^q)(y')^i) \subset \mathbb{F}_p(v\hat{y}_A)^q \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{(m-1)(p-1)+(p-1-(q-i))})$$

and the result follows from Lemma 6.2. □

7. $A_p(E,E)$-SUBMODULES OF $H^*(E)$

Let $A_p(E,E) = \mathbb{F}_p \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(E,E)$ be the double Burnside algebra of E over $\mathbb{F}_p$. In this section, we consider some $A_p(E,E)$-submodules of $H^*(E)$. Note that $A_p(E,E) = \mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E) \oplus J(E)$. First we shall show that the ideal $J(E)$ is generated three kinds of bisets.

Definition 7.1. (1) Let $\mathcal{I}_0$ be the $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace of $A_p(E,E)$ spanned by all bisets corresponding to $E \geq A \xrightarrow{\varphi} E$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ and φ is an injective morphism.
(2) Let $\mathcal{I}_1$ be the $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace of $A_p(E,E)$ spanned by all bisets corresponding to $\phi : E \longrightarrow E$ and $\phi(E) \in \mathcal{A}(E)$.

Lemma 7.2. *The ideal $J(E)$ is generated by $\mathcal{I}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_1$ and the elements in $A_p(E,E)$ corresponding to the bisets which factor through the trivial subgroup.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $\psi : A \longrightarrow E$ and $\psi' : E \longrightarrow E$ and assume that $|\psi(A)| = |\psi'(E)| = p$. It suffices to show that $\zeta_{A,\psi}$ and $\zeta_{E,\psi'}$ are contained in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{I}_0$ and $\mathcal{I}_1$.

First consider $\zeta_{A,\psi}$. There exist an automorphism $\varphi_1 : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ and a surjective morphism $\psi_1 : A \longrightarrow \psi(A)$ such that $\psi = \psi_1\varphi_1$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\psi_1 = \langle c\rangle$. Then ψ_1 extends to $\phi_1 : E \longrightarrow E$ such that $|\phi_1(E)| = p^2$ and we have $\psi = \phi_1\varphi_1$. Hence

$$\zeta_{A,\psi} = \zeta_{E,\phi_1}\zeta_{A,\varphi_1} \in \mathcal{I}_1\mathcal{I}_0.$$

Next we consider $\zeta_{E,\psi'}$. There exist morphism $\phi_2, \phi_3 : E \longrightarrow E$ such that $|\phi_2(E)| = |\phi_3(E)| = p^2$ and $\phi_3\phi_2 = \psi'$. Then it follows that

$$\zeta_{E,\psi'} = \zeta_{E,\phi_3}\zeta_{E,\phi_2} \in \mathcal{I}_1^2$$

and this completes the proof. □

Next, we consider the effect of $\mathcal{I}_0$ on some subspaces of $H^*(E)$. Recall that $M_i = CS^i + T^i$ for $0 \le i \le p-2$ where $S^0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $T^0 = S^{p-1}$.

Lemma 7.3. (1) *If* $0 \le j \le p-1$ *and* $0 \le i \le p-2$*, then*

$$(C^jv^iM_i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset C^jv^iM_i$$

and

$$v^i\mathcal{I}_0 \subset C^{i-1}M_i.$$

(2) *If* $j \ge 0$ *and* $0 \le i, q \le p-2$*, then*

$$(C^jv^qM_i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_q\}.$$

(3) *If* $j \ge 0$ *and* $1 \le q \le p-2$*, then*

$$(C^jv^qM_0)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{VM_q\}.$$

(4) *If* $j \ge 0$ *and* $1 \le i \le p-2$*, then*

$$(C^jVM_i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0\}.$$

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 6.5. (2) and (3) follow from Lemma 6.6. Let us prove (4). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}^E_A(C^jVM_i) &\subset \mathbb{F}_p\operatorname{res}^E_A(C^jV)y^{p-1+i} \\ &= \mathbb{F}_p\operatorname{res}^E_A(C^{j+1}V)y^i \\ &= \mathbb{F}_p\tilde{D}_2\operatorname{res}^E_A(C^jy^i) \end{aligned}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, it follows that $(C^jVM_i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0\}$ by Lemma 6.6. □

Now, we consider certain $A_p(E,E)$-submodules of $H^*(E)$.

Theorem 7.4. *$H^*(E)$ is a direct sum of the following $A_p(E,E)$-submodules.*

(7.4.1) $$\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\}$$

(7.4.2) $$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\}\ (1 \le i \le p-2)$$

(7.4.3) $$\mathbb{CA}\{v^i(S^i \oplus T^i)\}\ (1 \le i \le p-2)$$

(7.4.4) $$\sum_{1 \le i \ne q \le p-2} \mathbb{CA}\{v^q(S^i \oplus T^i)\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module, $H^*(E)$ is a direct sum of these submodules. We shall prove that these are in fact $A_p(E,E)$-submodules in Proposition 7.6, 7.9, 7.11 and 7.13 below. □

Let us show that these modules are $A_p(E,E)$-submodules and analyze their detailed structure. We shall prove Corollary 7.7, 7.10, 7.12 and 7.14 using Proposition 8.2, 8.4 and Corollary 9.3. Note that above four corollaries are not used in section 8 and section 9.

Let W be an $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$. In order to prove that W is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule, it suffices to show that $W\mathcal{I}_0$ and $W\mathcal{I}_1$ are contained in W by Lemma 7.2. Note that $(\mathbb{DA}x)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{DA}(x\mathcal{I}_0)$ for any $x \in H^*(E)$. Moreover $(vS^i)\mathcal{I}_1 = (vT^i)\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $D_2\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$ since $\varphi^*(d_2) = 0$ for any surjective morphism $\varphi : E \longrightarrow A$.

Definition 7.5. We set

$$N_i = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} C^j M_i$$

for $0 \le i \le p-2$.

First, we consider the module in (7.4.1).

Proposition 7.6. *The following is a series of $A_p(E,E)$-submodules of $H^*(E)$,*

$$\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\} \supset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\} \supset \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}.$$

Moreover we have a decomposition into $A_p(E,E)$-submodules,

$$\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} = \mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{D_2\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}.$$

Proof. First we show that $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$. We have $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$. On the other hand, since $C^jM_0\mathcal{I}_0 \subset C^jM_0$ for $0 \le j \le p-1$ by Lemma 7.3(1), $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}$.

Next, since $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule. Similarly, $\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$. Moreover $\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_0 = 0$ by Lemma 6.4 and $\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule. We have a direct sum decomposition as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} &= \mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p}(C^jVM_0)\} \\
&= \mathbb{DA}\{C(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(C^jVM_0)\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{VM_0\} \\
&= \mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{D_2\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}
\end{aligned}$$

and this is a decomposition as an $A_p(E,E)$-module.

Next we consider $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$. By Proposition 4.2, $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$. By Lemma 7.3(2),

$$\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p} C^jM_0\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule. Finally we consider $\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\}$. By Proposition 4.2, $(\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\}^+)\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$. Note that, as $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces, $\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\} = \mathbb{F}_p[D_1] \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$. Since $\mathbb{F}_p[D_1]\mathcal{I}_0 = 0$, we have $\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$. □

Corollary 7.7. (1) $\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}=\mathbb{F}_p[D_1]\oplus\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ *as* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$*-modules and*

$$\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}^+/\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,\mathbb{F}_p)$$

as $A_p(E,E)$*-modules.*
(2) $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}=\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{M_0\}\oplus\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$ *as* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$*-modules and*

$$\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}/\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,Q,U_0)$$

as $A_p(E,E)$*-modules, where* $Q\le P$, $|Q|=p$ *and* U_0 *is the trivial* $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(Q)$*-module.*
(3) *As* $A_p(E,E)$*-modules,*

$$\mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1})$$

$$\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,\mathbb{F}_p)$$

and

$$\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,S^{p-1}).$$

Proof. (1) Since $\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_0$ and $(\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}^+)\mathcal{I}_1$ are contained in $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ as in the proof of Proposition 7.6, it follows that

$$(\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}^+/\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\})J(E)=0.$$

Since $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on D_1 trivially, we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p+S^{p-1}\}^+/\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,\mathbb{F}_p)$$

(2) This follows from Proposition 8.2.
(3) By Corollary 9.3,

$$\mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1}).$$

Since $\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\mathcal{I}_0=\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\mathcal{I}_1=0$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on D_2 trivially, it follows that

$$\mathbb{DA}\{D_2\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Similarly, since

$$\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_0=\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\mathcal{I}_1=0,$$

it follows that

$$\mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,E,S^{p-1}).$$

□

Next, we consider the module in (7.4.2).

Lemma 7.8. *Let* $1\le i\le p-2$.
(1) $\mathbb{CA}\{S^i+T^i\}\mathcal{I}_0\subset\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0\}$.
(2) $\mathbb{CA}\{S^i+T^i\}\mathcal{I}_1\subset\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}$.

Proof. (1) First, note that

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i+T^i\}\subset\mathbb{DA}\{S^i\}+\mathbb{DA}[C]\{M_i\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{DA}\{S^i\}\mathcal{I}_0=0$ and $\mathbb{DA}[C]\{M_i\}\mathcal{I}_0\subset\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0\}$ by Lemma 7.3(2), the proof is completed.
(2) We have that $\mathbb{CA}\{V(S^i+T^i)\}\mathcal{I}_1=0$. Hence it follows that

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i+T^i\}\mathcal{I}_1\subset\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i+T^i\}\mathcal{I}_1\subset\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}$$

by Corollary 4.9 and the proof is completed. □

Proposition 7.9. *Let* $1 \leq i \leq p-2$. *Let* $Z_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$. *Then we have the following* $A_p(E,E)$*-submodules:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_p v^i + v^i S^{p-1}\} \arrow[d, dash] & \\
 & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^i M_0\} \arrow[dl, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \\
\mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} + Z_i \arrow[dr, dash] & & \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} + Z_i \arrow[dl, dash] \\
 & Z_i \arrow[d, dash] & \\
 & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^i M_0 + VM_i\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. First, we consider $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$. We have $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$. Since $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{1, C, \ldots, C^p\}$, in order to show that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$, it suffices to show that $C^j\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ for $j \geq 0$. By Lemma 7.3(3), we have $(C^jv^iM_0)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{VM_i\}$. On the other hand, $(C^jVM_i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0\}$ by Lemma 7.3(4). This shows that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule.

Next, consider $Z_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$. Since $\mathrm{res}^E_A(C^jS^i) = \mathbb{F}_p y^{j(p-1)+i}$, it follows that $(\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\})\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}$ by Corollary 4.9. On the other hand, we have that $\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0\}$ by Lemma 7.3(2). Hence Z_i is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule.

Next we consider $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i+T^i+v^iM_0\}$, $\mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\}+Z_i$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\}+Z_i$. Since these are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-submodules, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i+T^i+v^iM_0\}J(E) \subset Z_i$. But this follows from Lemma 7.8 since $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0\} \subset Z_i$.

Finally, we consider $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^i\} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}.$$

We claim that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^i\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i\}$. It suffices to show that $(C^jv^i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i\}$ for $j \geq 0$. If $j = 0$, then $v^i\mathcal{I}_0 \subset C^{i-1}M_i$ by Lemma 7.3(1). If $j > 0$, then

$$(C^jv^i)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset (C^{j-1}v^iM_0)\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{VM_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i\}$$

by Lemma 7.3(3). On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^i\}\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i, T^i\}$ by Proposition 4.2. Hence

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + S^{p-1}\}J(E) \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Corollary 7.10. *Let* $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ *and set* $Z_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ *as in Proposition 7.9. The we have the following.*
(1) $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\} = \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{v^i\} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}$ *as* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$*-modules and*

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\}/\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\} \simeq \bigoplus S(E, E, \det{}^i)$$

as $A_p(E,E)$*-modules.*
(2) $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} \oplus Z_i$ *as* $\mathbb{F}_p$*-spaces and we have* $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}J(E) \subset Z_i$. *In particular,*

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}/(\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} + Z_i) \simeq (\mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} + Z_i)/Z_i \simeq \bigoplus S(E, E, S^i)$$

and

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}/(\mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} + Z_i) \simeq (\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} + Z_i)/Z_i \simeq \bigoplus S(E, E, \bar{T}^i)$$

as $A_p(E,E)$-modules, where $\bar{T}^i = (CS^i + T^i)/CS^i$.
(3) $Z_i/\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\} \simeq \oplus S(E, Q, U_i)$ where $Q \le E$, $|Q| = p$ and U_i is a simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(Q)$-module. (See the first part of section 5.)
(4) Every composition factor of $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ as an $A_p(E,E)$-module has minimal subgroup E.

Proof. (1) Since there is a decomposition into $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector subspaces

$$\mathbb{CA}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\} = \mathbb{F}_p[V] \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{C\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{S^{p-1}\},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\} = \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{v^i\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}.$$

Moreover

$$(\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + \mathbb{F}_pv^i + v^iS^{p-1}\}/\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\})J(E) = 0$$

by the proof of Proposition 7.9. Hence the result follows since $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on v^i as $\det^i$.
(2) Since there exist decompositions into $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspaces,

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VCS^i\}$$
$$\mathbb{CA}\{T^i\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VT^i\},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VM_i\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T^i\} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS^i\} \oplus Z_i.$$

Hence the result follows since

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S^i + T^i + v^iM_0\}J(E) \subset Z_i$$

by the proof of Proposition 7.9.
(3) This follows from Proposition 8.4.
(4) This follows from Proposition 8.2, 8.4 and Corollary 9.3. □

Next, we consider the submodule in (7.4.3).

Proposition 7.11. *Let $1 \le i \le p-2$. The $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iS^i + v^iT^i\}$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$ and we have the following decomposition into $A_p(E,E)$-submodules,*

$$\mathbb{CA}\{v^iS^i + v^iT^i\} = \mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i + VT^i)\}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{CA}\{v^iS^i + v^iT^i\} = \mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i + VT^i)\}$$

as $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\}$ and $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i + VT^i)\}$ are $A_p(E,E)$-submodules. First, these are $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-submodules since $V(CS^i + T^i) = D_2S^i + VT^i$. We have $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iS^i + v^iT^i\}\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$. Since $C^jv^iM_i\mathcal{I}_0 \subset C^jv^iM_i$ for $0 \le j \le p-1$ by Lemma 7.3(1), we have $\mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\}\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\}$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i + VT^i)\}\mathcal{I}_0 = 0$ by Lemma 6.4 and this completes the proof. □

Corollary 7.12. (1) *As $A(E,E)$-modules,*

$$\mathbb{DA}\{v^iN_i\} \simeq \bigoplus S(E,A,S^{p-1}(A)\otimes \det{}^i).$$

(2) *As an $A(E,E)$-module, every composition factor of $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i+VT^i)\}$ has minimal subgroup E.*

Proof. (1) This follows from Corollary 9.3.
(2) Since $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i+VT^i)\}J(E)=0$ as in the proof of Proposition 7.11, every composition factor of $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i+VT^i)\}$ has minimal subgroup E. ☐

Finally, let us consider the submodule in (7.4.4).

Proposition 7.13. *The $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace*

$$\sum_{1\leq i\neq q\leq p-2} \mathbb{CA}\{v^qS^i+v^qT^i\}$$

is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$.

Proof. Let $W=\sum_{1\leq i\neq q\leq p-2}\mathbb{CA}\{v^qS^i+v^qT^i\}$. We have $W\mathcal{I}_1=0$. Since $\mathbb{CA}=\sum_{j=0}^{p}\mathbb{DA}\{C^j\}$, it suffices to show that $C^j(v^qS^i+v^qT^i)\mathcal{I}_0\subset W$ for $0\leq j\leq p$. By Lemma 6.5, $C^j(v^qS^i+v^qT^i)\mathcal{I}_0\subset \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_q\}\subset W$, and this completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 7.14. *As an $A(E,E)$-module, every composition factor of*

$$\sum_{1\leq i\neq q\leq p-2} \mathbb{CA}\{v^qS^i+v^qT^i\}$$

has minimal subgroup E.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 8.2, 8.4 and Corollary 9.3. ☐

8. Simple modules with cyclic minimal subgroup

In this section, we consider simple $A_p(E,E)$-modules induced from cyclic subgroup of order p. Recall that $M_i=CS^i+T^i$ for $0\leq i\leq p-2$ where $S^0=\mathbb{F}_p$ and $T^0=S^{p-1}$.

Let $Q\leq E$ be a subgroup of order p. Let $U_i=\mathbb{F}_pu_i$ $(0\leq i\leq p-2)$ be the simple right $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(Q)$-module defined by $u_i\sigma=\lambda^iu_i$ where $\mathrm{Out}(Q)=\langle\sigma\rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_p^\times=\langle\lambda\rangle$. Let η be a generator of $H^2(Q)$. If $n=m(p-1)+i$ where $m\geq 0$ and $0\leq i\leq p-2$, then $H^{2n}(Q)\simeq U_i$ as $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(Q)$-modules.

First, we consider the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module corresponding to U_0, namely, $S(E,Q,U_0)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $n=m(p-1)>0$. Then we have*

$$H^{2n}(Q)A(E,Q)+\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}=C^{m-1}M_0+\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}.$$

Proof. $H^{2n}(Q)A(E,Q)$ is generated by $\displaystyle\sum_{\phi:E\twoheadrightarrow Q}\phi^*H^{2n}(Q)$ and

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}(E)}\sum_{\varphi:A\twoheadrightarrow Q}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(H^{2n}(Q)).$$

The former $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace is equal to $C^{m-1}S^{p-1}$ by Corollary 4.9. On the other hand, if $\varphi : A \twoheadrightarrow Q$ then $\varphi^*(\eta)$ is λy or E-conjugate of λu for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Hence the later $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace is equal to $\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}(E)} \mathbb{F}_p \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^n)$. By Lemma 6.2,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^n) \equiv C^{m-1}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1}) \pmod{\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}}.$$

Since $C^{m-1}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^{p-1})$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ is a basis of $C^{m-1}M_0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}(E)} \mathbb{F}_p \mathrm{Tr}_A^E(u^n) + \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} = C^{m-1}M_0 + \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Proposition 8.2. *As $A_p(E,E)$-modules, we have*

$$\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}/\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} \simeq \bigoplus S(E,Q,U_0).$$

Moreover, $H^*(E)/\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ and $\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$ have no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_0)$.

Proof. First, note that $\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ and $\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$ are $A_p(E,E)$-submodules by Proposition 7.6. Let $F_m = H^{2m(p-1)}(Q)A(-,Q)$ for $m \geq 1$. By Lemma 8.1,

$$F_m(E) + \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} = C^{m-1}M_0 + \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}.$$

In particular,

$$(\bigcap_{R\leq E,|R|=p} \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{res}_R^E) \cap F_m(E) = \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} \cap F_m(E).$$

Hence $F_m(E)/(F_m(E) \cap \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}) \simeq S(E,Q,U_0)$ by Lemma 3.1, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}/\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} &\simeq (\sum_{m\geq 0} F_m(E) + \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\})/\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\} \\ &\simeq \bigoplus F_m(E)/(F_m(E) \cap \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus S(E,Q,U_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F = \oplus_{m\geq 1} F_m$. Since $H^*(Q)/F(Q)$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(Q,Q,U_0)$, it follows that $H^*(E)/F(E)$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_0)$ by Corollary 3.3. Since $F(E) \subset \mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$, it follows that $H^*(E)/\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\}$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_0)$. Moreover, since the restriction of $\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$ to any subgroup of order p is zero, we have $(\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\})A_p(Q,E) = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_0)$ by Lemma 3.2. □

Next, we consider the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module corresponding to U_i for $1 \leq i \leq p-2$, namely, $S(E,Q,U_i)$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $n = m(p-1)+i$. Then we have*

$$H^{2n}(Q)A(E,Q) + \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\} = C^mS^i + \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.9, $H^{2n}(Q)A(E,Q)$ is spanned by $C^m S^i$ and

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}(E)}\sum_{\varphi:A\twoheadrightarrow Q}\mathrm{Tr}_A^E\varphi^*(H^{2n}(Q)).$$

Since $\varphi^*(\eta)$ is λy or E-conjugate of λu for some $\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_p$, it follows that

$$H^{2n}(Q)A(E,Q)+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}=C^mS^i+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$$

by Lemma 6.2 and this completes the proof. □

Proposition 8.4. *Let $1\le i\le p-2$. As $A_p(E,E)$-modules, we have*

$$(\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})/\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}\simeq\bigoplus S(E,Q,U_i).$$

Moreover, $H^*(E)/(\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})$ and $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$ have no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_i)$.

Proof. We set $Z_i=\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\}+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$ as in Proposition 7.9. Then Z_i and $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$ are $A_p(E,E)$-submodules by Lemma 7.9. Let

$$F_{m,i}=H^{2m(p-1)+i}(Q)A(-,Q)$$

for $m\ge 0$. By Lemma 8.3,

$$F_{m,i}(E)+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}=C^mS^i+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}.$$

In particular,

$$(\bigcap_{R\le E,|R|=p}\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{res}_R^E)\cap F_{m,i}(E)=\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}\cap F_{m,i}(E).$$

Hence $F_{m,i}(E)/(F_{m,i}(E)\cap\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})\simeq S(E,Q,U_i)$ by Lemma 3.1, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z_i/\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}&\simeq(\sum_{m\ge0}F_{m,i}(E)+\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})/\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}\\&\simeq\bigoplus_{m\ge0}F_{m,i}(E)/(F_{m,i}(E)\cap\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})\\&\simeq\bigoplus S(E,Q,U_i).\end{aligned}$$

Let $F=\oplus_{m\ge0}F_{m,i}$. Since $H^*(Q)/F(Q)$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(Q,Q,U_i)$, it follows that $H^*(E)/F(E)$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_i)$ by Corollary 3.3. Since $F(E)\subset Z_i$, it follows that $H^*(E)/Z_i$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_i)$. Moreover, since the restriction of $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$ to any subgroup of order p is zero, we have $(\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\})A_p(Q,E)=0$. Hence $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0+VM_i\}$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,Q,U_i)$ by Lemma 3.2.

□

9. SIMPLE MODULES WITH MINIMAL SUBGROUP A

In this section, we consider $A_p(E,E)$-modules induced from $A_p(A,A)$-modules where A is a maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup of E.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $i,j \geq 0$, $0 \leq m \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq n \leq p-1$. If $j+m>0$, then $\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n A_p(E,A)$ is a simple $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$ and isomorphic to $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m)$.*

Proof. Let $F = (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(-,A)$. Note that

$$\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n \simeq S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^m)$$

by Proposition 5.7. Since $F(E) \neq 0$, it suffices to show that

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in A_p(A,E)} \operatorname{Ker} F(\phi) = 0$$

by Lemma 3.1. If $\alpha \in \cap_{\phi \in A_p(A,E)} \operatorname{Ker} F(\phi)$ then $\operatorname{res}^E_{A'}(\alpha) = 0$ for every maximal elementary abelian subgroup A' of E. Then $\alpha = 0$ by Quillen's theorem [15]. □

Proposition 9.2. *Let $i,j \geq 0$, $0 \leq m \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq n \leq p-1$. If $j+m>0$, then*

$$(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A) = D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m$$

where $M_m = CS^m + T^m$.

Proof. First, we claim that $D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $H^*(E)$. We have $(D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m)\mathcal{I}_1 = 0$. Since $v^m C^n M_m \mathcal{I}_0 \subset v^m C^n M_m$ by Lemma 7.3(1), $D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m$ is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule.

By Lemma 6.1(3), $\{D_1^i D_2^j v^m \hat{y}_{A'}^m C^n \operatorname{Tr}(u^{p-1})\}_{A' \in \mathcal{A}(E)}$ is a basis of $D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m$. Since $C^n \operatorname{Tr}^E_{A'}(u^{p-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}^E_{A'}(u^{(n+1)(p-1)})$ by Lemma 6.1(2), we have

$$D_1^i D_2^j v^m \hat{y}_{A'}^m C^n \operatorname{Tr}(u^{p-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}^E_{A'}(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m u^{(n+1)(p-1)})$$

and it follows that

$$D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m \subset (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A) + (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))A_p(E,A).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))A_p(E,A) &\subset \sum_{A' \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \sum_{\varphi: A' \xrightarrow{\sim} A} \operatorname{Tr}^E_{A'} \varphi^*(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A)) \\ &\subset D_1^i D_2^j v^{m+1} S^{m+1} H^*(E),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_n \cap (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))A_p(E,A) = 0.$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A) + (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))A_p(E,A) \\ =\ &D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_m + (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1} H^*(A))A_p(E,A).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_1^i D_2^j v^m C^n M_n \simeq (\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A)$$

since $(\tilde{D_1}^i \tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A)$ is a simple $A_p(E,E)$-module by Lemma 9.1.

Next, we prove that $(\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1}H^*(A))A_p(E,A)$ does not have a submodule which is isomorphic to $D_1^iD_2^jv^mC^nM_m$. In particular this implies that

$$(\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^m W_n)A_p(E,A) = D_1^iD_2^jv^mC^nM_m.$$

Assume that there exists an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule of $(\tilde{D_1}^i\tilde{D_2}^j d_2^{m+1}H^*(A))A_p(E,A)$ isomorphic to $D_1^iD_2^jv^mC^nM_m$. Then some submodules of $D_1^iD_2^jv^{m+1}S^{m+1}H^*(E)$ is isomorphic to $D_1^iD_2^jv^mC^nM_m$. Then, as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module, $vS^{m+1}H^{2r}(E)$ has a submodule which is isomorphic to S^m where

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{1}{2}(\deg C^nM_m - \deg vS^{m+1}) \\ &= n(p-1)+(p+m-1)-(p+m+1) = n(p-1)-2. \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts to Lemma 4.6 and so the proof is completed. □

Corollary 9.3. (1) *We have*

$$\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2N_0\} \simeq \bigoplus S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1})$$

and the quotient module

$$H^*(E)/\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2N_0\}$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1})$.
(2) *Assume that* $1 \le m \le p-2$. *Then*

$$\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{v^mN_m\} \simeq \bigoplus S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1}\otimes \det{}^m)$$

and the quotient module

$$H^*(E)/\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{v^mN_m\}$$

has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1}\otimes\det{}^m)$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 9.1 and Proposition 9.2, $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2N_0\}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1})$. Since $H^*(A)/\tilde{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}}\{\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\tilde{D}_2W_n\}$ has no simple subquotient which is isomorphic to $S(A,A,S(A)^{p-1})$ by Proposition 5.7, it follows that $H^*(E)/\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2N_0\}$ has no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1})$ by Corollary 3.3. This completes the proof of (1) since

$$\tilde{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}}\{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\tilde{D}_2W_n\}A_p(E,A) = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2N_0\}$$

by Proposition 9.2. The proof of (2) is similar to the proof of (1). □

Remark 9.4. We consider $d_2^qS(A)^i$ for $0 \le i \le p-2$ and $q > 0$. This is a simple $A_p(A,A)$-submodule of $H^*(A)$ with minimal subgroup A. Let $F = d_2^qS(A)^iA(-,A)$. Since

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A^E(d_2^qS(A)^i) = 0,$$

we have that $F(E) = 0$ and in particular, $S_{A,S(A)^i\otimes\det^q}(E) = 0$. Hence there exists no simple $A_p(E,E)$-module corresponding to $S(A)^i\otimes\det^q$ for $0 \le i,q \le p-2$.

10. Cohomology of stable summands

By results in section 8 and section 9, we have the following classification of simple $A_p(E,E)$-modules.

Proposition 10.1 ([6]). *Up to isomorphisms, the simple $A_p(E,E)$-modules are given as follows.*
(1) $S(E,Q,U_i)$ $(0 \le i \le p-2)$,

$$\dim S(E,Q,U_i) = \begin{cases} p+1 & (i=0) \\ i+1 & (1 \le i \le p-2). \end{cases}$$

(2) $S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^q)$ $(0 \le q \le p-2)$,

$$\dim S(E,A,S(A)^{p-1} \otimes \det^q) = p+1.$$

(3) *Simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules, namely,*

$$S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^i) \ (0 \le i \le p-1, \ 0 \le q \le p-2).$$

(4) *The one dimensional module with trivial minimal subgroup.*

Recall that $M_i = CS^i + T^i$ and $N_i = \oplus_{0 \le j \le p-1} C^j M_i$ for $0 \le i \le p-2$, where $S^0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $T^0 = S^{p-1}$.

Let S be a simple $A_p(E,E)$-module and let e be an idempotent in $A_p(E,E)$ such that $Se = S$ and $S'e = 0$ for any simple $A_p(E,E)$-module S' which is not isomorphic to S. We shall obtain an $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace W of $H^*(E)$ such that the multiplication by e induces isomorphism

$$W \xrightarrow{\sim} We = H^*(E)e.$$

In general, W is not necessarily an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule. But for simple modules with minimal subgroup A, we see that W is an $A_p(E,E)$-submodule and the equality $W = We$ holds.

By Proposition 8.2 and 8.4, we have the following.

Theorem 10.2. *Let $Q \le E$, $|Q| = p$ and U_i $(0 \le i \le p-2)$ be simple kQ-modules. Let e be an idempotent corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,Q,U_i)$. Then*

$$W \xrightarrow{\sim} We = H^*(E)e$$

where

$$W = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{M_0\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{\mathbb{F}_pC + S^{p-1}\} & (i=0) \\ \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} & (1 \le i \le p-2). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since we have the following decompositions into $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspaces,

$$\mathbb{CA}\{M_0\} = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{M_0\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VM_0\}$$

and

$$Z_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$$

the result follows from Proposition 8.2 and 8.4. □

Similarly, by Corollary 9.3, we have the following.

Theorem 10.3. *Let e be an idempotent in $A_p(E,E)$ corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$- module $S(E,A,S^{p-1} \otimes \det^q)$. Then*

$$H^*(E)e = \begin{cases} \mathbb{DA}\{D_2N_0\} & (q=0) \\ \mathbb{DA}\{v^qN_q\} & (1 \le q \le p-2). \end{cases}$$

Next, we consider simple $A_p(E,E)$-modules which corresponds to simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules. First, we consider simple modules $\det^q$ and $S^{p-1}\otimes\det^q$.

Theorem 10.4. (1) *Let e be an idempotent corresponding to the trivial $A_p(E,E)$-module. Then*

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}^+e \simeq \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}^+.$$

(2) *Let $1 \le q \le p-2$. Then there exists an idempotent e corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,\det^q)$ such that*

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^q\}e \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^q\}.$$

(3) *Let e be an idempotent corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,S^{p-1})$. Then*

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{VS^{p-1}\}e \simeq \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{VS^{p-1}\}.$$

(4) *Let $1 \le q \le p-2$. Then there exists an idempotent e corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,S^{p-1}\otimes\det^q)$ such that*

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^qS^{p-1}\}e \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^qS^{p-1}\}.$$

Proof. (1) Let e be an idempotent corresponding to the trivial $A_p(E,E)$-module. Since direct summands in (7.4.2), (7.4.3) and (7.4.4) have no simple subquotient module which is isomorphic to $S(E,E,\mathbb{F}_p)$ by Corollary 7.10, 7.12 and 7.14, it follows that $H^*(E)e = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{\mathbb{F}_p + S^{p-1}\}e$. By Corollary 7.7 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{F}_p[D_1]^+e \oplus \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2\}e \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[D_1]^+ \oplus \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{D_2\} = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}^+.$$

(2) If S is a simple $A_p(E,E)$-module such that S has a composition factor isomorphic to $\det^q$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module, then $S \simeq S(E,E,\det^q)$. Hence if e is an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to the simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module $\det^q$, then e is an idempotent corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,\det^q)$. It follows that

$$H^*(E)e = H_{0,q} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^q\}$$

by Corollary 4.5.

(3) Let e be an idempotent corresponding to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,S^{p-1})$. Then, by Corollary 7.7, 7.10, 7.12, 7.14 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{VS^{p-1}\}e = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{A}\{VS^{p-1}\}.$$

(4) As in the proof of (2), if e is an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to $S^{p-1}\otimes\det^q$, then e is an idempotent corresponding to $S(E,E,S^{p-1}\otimes\det^q)$. Hence it follows that

$$H^*(E)e = H_{p-1,q} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{A}\{v^qS^{p-1}\}.$$

by Corollary 4.5. □

Let us consider remaining simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{Out}(E)$-modules, that is, $S^i\otimes\det^q$ for $1 \le i \le p-2$, $0 \le q \le p-2$.

Theorem 10.5. *Let $1 \le i \le p-2$ and $0 \le q \le p-2$. Let*

$$S = S^iv^q, \quad T = T^{p-i-1}v^s$$

where $s \equiv i+q \pmod{p-1}$, $0 \leq s \leq p-2$. *Then there exists an idempotent* e *in* $A_p(E,E)$ *which corresponds to* $S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^q)$ *such that* $H^*(E)e = We \simeq W$ *for the following* $\mathbb{F}_p$*-subspace* W*:*

$$\begin{array}{lcll}
\mathbb{CA}\{VS\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (q \equiv 2i \equiv 0) \\
\mathbb{CA}\{VS\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (q \equiv 0,\ 2i \not\equiv 0) \\
\mathbb{DA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (i = q,\ 3i \equiv 0) \\
\mathbb{DA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (i = q,\ 3i \not\equiv 0) \\
\mathbb{CA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (q \neq 0,\ i \neq q,\ q+2i \equiv 0) \\
\mathbb{CA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (q \neq 0,\ i \neq q,\ q+2i \not\equiv 0)
\end{array}$$

where $\equiv$ *means equivalent modulo* $p-1$.

Proof. Let f be an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which corresponds to the simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module $S^i \otimes \det^q$. Since

$$S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^q) \simeq S^i \otimes \det^q$$

as $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules, there exists an idempotent e in $A_p(E,E)$ which corresponds to the simple $A_p(E,E)$-module $S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^q)$ such that $fe = ef = e$. Note that $S(E,E,L)(f-e) = 0$ for any simple $\mathbb{F}_p\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$-module L. Since

$$H^*(E)f = \mathbb{CA}\{S\}f \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{T\}f \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{S\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{T\}$$

by Corollary 4.5, it follows that

$$H^*(E)e = \mathbb{CA}\{S\}e \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{T\}e.$$

First, we consider $\mathbb{CA}\{S\}e$. Assume $q = 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS\} + Z_i$$

where $Z_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S\} + \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ as in Proposition 7.9. By Corollary 7.10 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS\} + Z_i)e &= \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS\}e + \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}e \\
&\simeq \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS\} + \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}e.
\end{aligned}$$

Since every composition factor of $\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}$ has minimal subgroup E by Corollary 7.10, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}e &= \mathbb{CA}\{v^iM_0 + VM_i\}f \\
&= \mathbb{CA}\{CVS\}f = \mathbb{CA}\{CVS\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $q = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{CA}\{S\}e &\subset \mathbb{F}_p[V]\{VS\}e \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{CVS\} \\
&= \mathbb{CA}\{VS\}e \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{VS\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S\}e = \mathbb{CA}\{VS\}e \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{VS\}.$$

Assume $i = q$. Then

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S\} = \mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} C^{j+1}S\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{S\}$$

and we have

$$\mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} C^{j+1}S\} \subset \mathbb{DA}\{v^i N_i\}$$

$$\mathbb{DA}\{S\} \subset \mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i + VT^i)\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{DA}\{v^i N_i\}e = \mathbb{DA}\{v^i(S^i+VT^i)\}(f-e) = 0$ by Corollary 7.12 and $\mathbb{CA}\{S\}f = \mathbb{CA}\{S\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S\}e = \mathbb{DA}\{S\}e = \mathbb{DA}\{S\}.$$

Assume $q \neq 0$ and $i \neq q$. Then $\mathbb{CA}\{S\}(f-e) = 0$ by Corollary 7.14. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{S\}e = \mathbb{CA}\{S\}f = \mathbb{CA}\{S\}.$$

Next, we consider $\mathbb{CA}\{T\}e$. Assume $q + 2i \equiv 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{CA}\{T\} = \mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} C^j T\} \oplus \mathbb{DA}\{VT\}$$

and we have

$$\mathbb{DA}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} C^j T\} \subset \mathbb{DA}\{v^{p-1-i} N_{p-1-i}\}$$

$$\mathbb{DA}\{VT\} \subset \mathbb{DA}\{v^{p-1-i}(S^{p-1-i} + VT^{p-1-i})\}$$

since $s = p - 1 - i$. Since

$$\mathbb{DA}\{v^{p-1-i} N_{p-1-i}\}e = \mathbb{DA}\{v^{p-1-i}(S^{p-1-i} + VT^{p-1-i})\}(f-e) = 0$$

by Corollary 7.12 and $\mathbb{CA}\{T\}f \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{T\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{T\}e = \mathbb{DA}\{VT\}e \simeq \mathbb{DA}\{VT\}.$$

Assume $q + 2i \not\equiv 0$ and $i + q \equiv 0$. Then by Corollary 7.10 and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T\} + Z_{p-1-i})e &= \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T\}e \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{v^{p-1-i}M_0 + VM_{p-1-i}\}e \\
&= \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T\}e \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VT\}e \\
&\simeq \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{T\} \oplus \mathbb{CA}\{VT\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{T\}e \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{T\}.$$

Finally, assume $q + 2i \not\equiv 0$ and $i + q \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{CA}\{T\} \subset \sum_{1 \le j \ne r \le p-2} \mathbb{CA}\{v^r(S^j + T^j)\}$$

since $p - 1 - i \neq s$. Hence $\mathbb{CA}\{T\}(f-e) = 0$ by Corollary 7.14 and in particular, we have

$$\mathbb{CA}\{T\}e = \mathbb{CA}\{T\}f \simeq \mathbb{CA}\{T\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

By these results, we can describe the cohomology of stable summands of BE.

Definition 10.6. Let X_M be an indecomposable stable summand of BE corresponding to a simple right $A_p(E,E)$-module M. Let $X(M)$ be the sum of indecomposable summands equivalent to X_M in the complete stable splitting of BE. Let e be an idempotent in $A_p(E,E)$ corresponding to M, namely, $M = Me$ and $M'e = 0$ for a simple $A_p(E,E)$-module which is not isomorphic to M. Let

$$H^*(X(M)) = H^*(E)e.$$

Let $X_{i,q} = X_{S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^q)}$ and $X(i,q) = X(S(E,E,S^i \otimes \det^q))$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$ and $0 \le q \le p-2$. Note that $X(i,q) \sim (i+1)X_{i,q}$. Moreover we write

$$X(E,A,q) = X(S(E,A,S^{p-1} \otimes \det^q))$$

and

$$X(E,Q,q) = X(S(E,Q,U_q)).$$

Corollary 10.7. *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^*(X(0,0)) & \simeq & \mathbb{DA}^+ \\ H^*(X(0,q)) & \simeq & \mathbb{CA}\{v^q\} \\ H^*(X(p-1,0)) & \simeq & \mathbb{DA}\{VS^{p-1}\} \\ H^*(X(p-1,q)) & \simeq & \mathbb{CA}\{v^q S^{p-1}\} \ (1 \le q \le p-2). \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 10.4. □

Corollary 10.8. *Let $1 \le i \le p-2$ and $0 \le q \le p-2$. Let*

$$S = S^i v^q, \quad T = T^{p-i-1} v^s$$

where $s \equiv i + q \pmod{p-1}$, $0 \le s \le p-2$. Then $H^*(X(i,q))$ is isomorphic to the following subspace:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \mathbb{CA}\{VS\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (q \equiv 2i \equiv 0) \\ \mathbb{CA}\{VS\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (q \equiv 0,\ 2i \not\equiv 0) \\ \mathbb{DA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (i = q,\ 3i \equiv 0) \\ \mathbb{DA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (i = q,\ 3i \not\equiv 0) \\ \mathbb{CA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{DA}\{VT\} & (q \not\equiv 0,\ i \ne q,\ q + 2i \equiv 0) \\ \mathbb{CA}\{S\} & \oplus & \mathbb{CA}\{T\} & (q \not\equiv 0,\ i \ne q,\ q + 2i \not\equiv 0) \end{array}$$

where $\equiv$ means equivalent modulo $p-1$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 10.5. □

Corollary 10.9. *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$H^*(X(E,A,q)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{DA}\{D_2(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} C^j(\mathbb{F}_p C + S^{p-1}))\} & (q = 0) \\ \mathbb{DA}\{v^q(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} C^j(CS^q + T^q))\} & (1 \le q \le p-2) \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(X(E,Q,i)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{\mathbb{F}_p C + S^{p-1}\} & (i = 0) \\ \mathbb{F}_p[C]\{S^i\} & (1 \le i \le p-2). \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 10.2 and 10.3. □

References

[1] D. J. Benson, Stably splitting BG, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1996), 189-198.

[2] D. J. Benson and M. Feshbach, Stable splittings of classifying spaces of finite groups, Topology 31 (1992), 157-176.

[3] S. Bouc, Foncteurs d'ensembles munis d'une double action, J. Algebra 183 (1996), 664-736.

[4] S. Bouc, R. Stancu and J. Thévenaz, Simple biset functors and double Burnside ring, arXiv: 1203.0195.

[5] G. Carlsson, Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture, Ann. Math. 120 (1984), 189-224.

[6] J. Dietz and S. Priddy, The stable homotopy type of rank two p-groups, *Homotopy theory and its applications*, Contemp. Math. 188, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1995), 93-103.

[7] J. C. Harris and N. J. Kuhn, Stable decompositions of classifying spaces of finite abelian p-groups, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 103 (1988), 427-449.

[8] D. J. Glover, A study of certain modular representations, J. Algebra 51 (1978), 425-475.

[9] D. J. Green, On the cohomology of the sporadic simple group J_4, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 113 (1993), 253-266.

[10] I. J. Leary, The integral cohomology rings of some p-groups, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 110 (1991), 25-32.

[11] I. J. Leary, The mod-p cohomology rings of some p-groups, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 112 (1992), 63-75.

[12] G. Lewis, The integral cohomology rings of groups of order p^3, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 501-529.

[13] L. G. Lewis, J. P. May and J. E. McClure, Classifying G-spaces and the Segal conjecture, *Current trends in algebraic topology*, CMS Conf. Proc. 2 (1982), 165-179.

[14] J. Martino and S. Priddy, The complete stable splitting for the classifying space of a finite group, Topology 31 (1992), 143-156.

[15] D. Quillen, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I, Ann. of Math. 94 (1971), 549-572.

[16] A. Ruiz and A. Viruel, The classification of p-local finite groups over the extraspecial group of order p^3 and exponent p, Math. Z. 248 (2004), 45-65.

[17] H. Sasaki, Mod p cohomology algebras of finite groups with extraspecial Sylow p-subgroups, Hokkaido Math. J. 29 (2000), 263-302.

[18] M. Tezuka and N. Yagita, On odd prime components of cohomologies of sporadic simple groups and the rings of universal stable elements, J. Algebra 183 (1996), 483-513.

[19] P. Webb, Two classifications of simple Mackey functors with applications to group cohomology and decomposition of classifying spaces, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 88 (1993), 265-304.

[20] N. Yagita, On odd degree parts of cohomology of sporadic simple groups whose Sylow p-subgroup is the extra-special p-group of order p^3, J. Algebra 201 (1998), 373-391.

[21] N. Yagita, Stable splitting and cohomology of p-local finite groups over the extraspecial p-group of order p^3 and exponent p, Geometry and Topology Monographs 11 (2007), 399-434.

Akihiko Hida, Faculty of Education, Saitama University, Shimo-okubo 255, Sakura-ku, Saitama-city, Saitama, Japan
E-mail address: `ahida@mail.saitama-u.ac.jp`

Nobuaki Yagita, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki, Japan
E-mail address: `yagita@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp`